

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/831,334	01/09/2002	Onno Dirk Oenema	98-IKU-837	3239	
7590 02/25/2004			EXAMINER		
Eaton Corporation			ROBINSON, MARK A		
Eaton Centre 1111 Superior A	Avenue	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Cleveland, OH 44114-2584			2872		
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				,					
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	6				
		09/831,3	334	OENEMA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	ा	Art Unit					
		Mark A. F	Robinson	2872					
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence address	40				
A SHO THE M - Extensi after SI - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ons of time may be available under the provision X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum is to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ermunication. 30) days, a reply within the statatutory period will apply and vywill, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be til stutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.				
•	Responsive to communication(s) fil								
'=)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This action is non-final.								
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	n of Claims								
5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	Claim(s) 20-26 is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 20-26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from co			·				
Applicatio	n Papers								
9)∐ T	he specification is objected to by t	ne Examiner.							
10) 🔲 T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.					
	applicant may not request that any obj	= :							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includin he oath or declaration is objected								
Priority un	ider 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	cknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internative the attached detailed Office active	y documents have be y documents have be s of the priority docum onal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicat nents have been receiv ale 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage	;				
Attachment(4)	(PTO 412)					
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) Informa	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/19/03 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

These claims stand rejected for the reasons given previously. Applicant has stated in the response that support for claim 25 is found on p. 2 of the specification. However, this portion of the specification states "the mirror housing

Art Unit: 2872

comprises one single build-up element...in which build-up element a reinforcement element is provided." This statement, along with other teachings of the specification, define the housing and build-up element as two separate items, with the build-up element located within the housing. Thus, the recitation of claim 25 which states "forming a one-piece mirror housing...and insert molding electrically conductive reinforcement strips therein" is not consistent with the teachings of the specification, since the implication of this limitation is that the reinforcement strips are molded into the mirror housing. Further, support for "a one-piece mirror housing" seems to be lacking in the specification.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huizenga et al 5900999 in view of Fuerst et al 6247823.

The limitations of these claims are met by or obvious over Huizenga as discussed in the previous office action, except that Huizenga does not explicitly teach an electronics unit in a hollow of the one-piece element. However, Fuerst teaches such

Art Unit: 2872

an electronics unit(9) which includes a PCB in the hollow of a mirror build-up element (see fig. 1). Note that this electronics unit is used to control mirror functions such as mirror adjustment, heating or lighting (see col. 1). It would have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan at the time of invention to include an electronics unit in the sealed hollow space as shown by Fuerst in Huizenga's system in order to protect the electronics unit, which provides for the various ancillary functions (heating, etc.), from deleterious environmental effects as taught by Fuerst (see the abstract).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kaspar et al and Lynam et al show electronics units located within mirror housings.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Robinson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2319.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn, can be reached at (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the

Application/Control Number: 09/831,334 Page 5

Art Unit: 2872

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MR

2/6/04

MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER